

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,763	12/31/2003	Amy L. Gosselin	79948	9127
48940	7590 08/18/2006		EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY			BECKER, DREW E	
120 S. LASA SUITE 1600	LLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60603-3406		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			.W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,763	GOSSELIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Drew E. Becker	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  Individual will expire SIX (6) MOR  Indivi	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	May 2004.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			<b>V</b>
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyant oction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/04</u>.</li> </ol>	8) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

Application/Control Number: 10/749,763 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the first sentence of the specification should include the status of the parent applications (ie Pat. No.).

Appropriate correction is required.

### Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,743,452. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,763 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

5. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claims 21-22 recite "it". It is not clear what "it" is.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gosselin et al [Pat. No. 6,733,808], Guzowski et al [Pat. No. 6,962,724], Carabetta et al [US 2002/0064582A1], Lamp et al [Pat. No. 6,764,700], Lamp et al [Pat. No. 6,919,097], and Morris et al [US 2006/0013929A1] teach methods of making packaged food products.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,763 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREW BECKER

8-1506